

WHEELCHAIR TENNIS WCP SELECTION POLICY

Introduction

The Wheelchair Tennis World Class Programme (the “WCP”) is a performance programme administered by the Tennis Foundation (the “TF”) and designed to support the delivery of success in the sport’s most significant events. Such events include Super Series tournaments, Grand Slams and, specifically, the Paralympic Games. The TF must be able to demonstrate any athlete granted membership of the WCP is a potential future Paralympic medallist. Please note however that selection to the WCP does not guarantee an athlete’s selection for the Paralympic Games.

This policy (the “Policy”) sets out how athletes are selected onto the WCP by the TF, and what levels of support they would be eligible to receive.

1. SELECTION PROCESS

1.1 Structure and Principles of the Policy

Players must first meet all of the eligibility criteria set out in paragraph 1.2 below (the “Eligibility Criteria”). If they do so, they will then be considered for selection against the criteria in the WCP Matrix (Section 2) (the “Selection Criteria”).

The WCP Matrix consists of a range of levels based on performance and development achievements and behavioural qualities. Each level of the WCP Matrix has set selection criteria which athletes must achieve to be considered on the respective level of the WCP.

There is no pre-determined number of places on the WCP. Although the TF seeks to support all players who are capable of competing at the highest level, selections are made with the intention of maintaining a cohort of players that comprises the best British players and those capable of and committed to progression. Selections for the WCP will have regard to the budget set and the availability of funding support from partner organisations such as UK Sport for the relevant funding period. The overall size of the WCP will need to be commensurate with the available budget, which may change from year to year. It is possible that the business climate may dictate significant differences in player numbers on the WCP year by year. The TF delegates authority for selecting players for the WCP to a selection panel (the “Selection Panel”) who will decide which players will be selected to the WCP in accordance with the Selection Criteria.

1.2 Eligibility Criteria

All players must first meet the Eligibility Criteria set out below before they are assessed against the Selection Criteria. Players are only eligible to be considered for selection to the WCP provided the following is true:

- a. He/she is eligible to compete for Great Britain at the Paralympic Games (i.e. is a British Passport holder and meets ITF Rules relating to Paralympic Games participation); and
- b. He/she meets the minimum eligibility criteria for Men and Women set out in the ITF Wheelchair Tennis Classification Manual 2016 (as amended or replaced) or have been accredited by the ITF classifiers as a player in the Quad Division; and
- c. He/she is not currently serving a suspension for a doping violation and/or a breach of the anti-corruption rules*

*Where a player is serving such a suspension but the suspension is due to end during the period for which selections are being made, the Selection Panel may, at its discretion, choose to consider the player for selection. Should the player be selected, they would only be part of the WCP once their suspension has ended.

All players who meet the Eligibility Criteria set out above can then be considered for selection to the WCP by the Selection Panel against the Selection Criteria.

1.3 The Selection Process

Selection of players for the WCP is ordinarily for a period of one year, normally running from 01 January to 31 December. In some cases, the Selection Panel may make the selection for a shorter period, with the full year only being granted on achievement of conditions set by the Selection Panel.

The process that the TF will then follow in order to select players to the WCP is detailed below.

Stage 1 – Player Recommendations: The Head of Disability Player Performance, or their nominee, will prepare a list of recommended individuals for the Selection Panel to consider for selection to the WCP. The list will consist of:

- a) Any player who is currently on the WCP;
- b) Any player who satisfies the ranking requirements in respect of Level E of the WCP Matrix Selection Criteria; and
- c) Any player nominated by the TF Talent Coach (the “TC”) – the TC will nominate players based on assessment against the Talent Markers (see 2.3 Selection Criteria Notes).

Stage 2 – The Selection Meeting

The TF delegates authority for selection to the WCP to the Selection Panel. The Selection Panel will consist of at least 3 of the following:

- Head of Disability Player Performance;
- Head of Coaching and Talent;
- Performance Support Manager;
- Lead Performance Coach;
- Performance Development Coach;
- Operations Manager; and
- Talent Coach.

The Head of Disability Player Performance or their nominee will chair the Selection Panel and will retain the right of a casting vote in the case of a tie should this be required. The chairperson may nominate an additional member of the TF’s Performance Team to the Selection Panel to provide further relevant expertise from time to time, but this person shall not have voting rights.

A member of the TF's legal team may attend meetings of the Panel to advise on procedural issues and adherence to the Policy but shall not have voting rights. A member of the TF may also be invited by the chairperson to attend to take notes and record the selection decisions but shall not have voting rights.

The Panel will consider the recommended eligible players for selection to the WCP in accordance with this Policy and assess them against the WCP Matrix Selection Criteria. The Selection Panel will have available to it any evidence, statistics and feedback or other information relevant for the purposes of assessing players in accordance with the Selection Criteria set out in this Policy (including but not limited to the information considered by the TC).

Players currently on the WCP who do not satisfy the Selection Criteria or who are deemed not to be affected by a Performance Limiting Factor will not be selected.

The Selection Panel may also set conditions and targets for each player on the WCP, some of which may have to be met and reviewed periodically in order to maintain their place on the WCP.

As each player is considered, Selection Panel members will declare any potential conflict of interest. The chairperson will decide if there is a conflict of interest. If there is, that Selection Panel member must leave the meeting during the consideration of that player.

1.4 Selection Outcome

Following successful WCP selection, individual players will only be formally accepted onto the WCP once they have signed and returned the Wheelchair Tennis Athlete Agreement (the "Athlete Agreement") and completed a satisfactory pre-induction review (the "Review") within the agreed timeframes. Every player selected to the WCP will undergo a Review to ensure their potential membership on the WCP does not pose significant risk to their health and wellbeing and that they will be able to take part in and complete the WCP. The Review will cover:

- a. Medical – carried out by Programme Doctor or GP; and
- b. Lifestyle-related – carried out by Performance Lifestyle Adviser or similar.

The Athlete Agreement will include amongst other matters obligations regarding coaching, training and competitions, commitments to anti-doping and anti-corruption and adherence to a code of conduct and disciplinary procedure.

Accepting a WCP place is encouraged but optional. If an athlete chooses to decline the selection for any reason (including failure to sign the Athlete Agreement) they will not be restricted in selection for major competitions, but there will be no form of financial support or, unless approved otherwise by the TF, access to coaching, sports science/sports medicine or operational services.

SECTION 2 – SELECTION CRITERIA

2.1 The WCP Matrix Selection Criteria Overview

The tables below outline the Selection Criteria against which recommended eligible players will be assessed by the Selection Panel in respect of selection for singles and/or doubles at each level of the WCP.

The Selection Criteria have been designed to ensure that only players that are progressing towards achieving, have potential to achieve, or continuing to achieve Paralympic medal performances will be considered on the WCP.

The WCP Selection Criteria are designed to enable players to fully engage in the WCP and meet the necessary training and competition demands associated with progression towards, and success in, the Paralympic Games.

Save where the Selection Panel has deemed that a Performance Limiting Factor is to be taken into account to justify why a criterion has not been met, all criteria at each level must be satisfied to attain selection at the respective level of the WCP.

2.2 WCP Matrix Table – Selection Criteria in respect of singles

Singles					
Pathway Level		Ranking ¹	Results ²	Tokyo Profile ³	Maximising Performance ⁵
PODIUM	A	World Ranking 1-2 Men, Women, Quad	Rio Medallist (2016/17 only) / Final at British Open (“BO”)	Demonstrable progress to Tokyo Medal Zone ⁴ - What It Takes To Win (“WITTW”) Indicators vs Medal Zone	Elite Athlete Training - Support Team Personnel - WITTW Engagement - Demonstration of full time training - Elite Behaviours
	B	World Ranking in Medal Zone Medal Zone for divisions: Men & Women = Top 6; Quad = Top 4	Semi Final at Rio (2016/17 only) / Semi Final at BO	Demonstrable progress to Tokyo Medal Zone ⁴ - WITTW Indicators vs Medal Zone	Elite Athlete Training - Support Team Personnel - WITTW Engagement - Demonstration of full time training - Elite Behaviours
PODIUM POTENTIAL	C	Men Top 16 Women Top 12 Quad Top 8	Last 16 at Rio / BO (M&W) Quarter Final at Rio / BO (Q)	Demonstrable progress to Tokyo Medal Zone ⁴ - Ranking - WITTW Indicators vs Medal Zone	Elite Athlete Training - Support Team Personnel - WITTW Engagement - Demonstration of full time training - Elite Behaviours
	D	Men Top 32 Women Top 24 Quad Top 16		Demonstrable progress to 2020/4 Medal Zone ⁴ - Ranking - WITTW Indicators vs Medal Zone	Progressive Athlete Training - Support Team Personnel - WITTW Engagement - Commitment to become full time - Elite Behaviours
	E	Men Top 64 Women Top 48 Quad Top 32 OR Junior World Ranking Men Top 8; Women Top 4		Demonstrable progress to 2020/4 Medal Zone ⁴ - Ranking - WITTW Indicators vs Medal Zone	Fundamental Training, in line with WCP recommendations: - Coach - Facility - Training Schedule - WCP Camp Attendance
FUTURES	F			Meets Talent Markers ⁶ set for future medal contenders	Fundamental Training, in line with WCP recommendations: - Coach - Facility - Training Schedule - WCP Camp Attendance

2.3 WCP Matrix Table – Selection Criteria in respect of doubles

Doubles					
Pathway Level		Ranking ¹	Results	Tokyo Profile ³	Maximising Performance ⁵
PODIUM	A	Ranked as one of the top 2 pairs of the same nationality (based on Combined Ranking ⁷)	Rio Medallist (2016/17 only) / Final at British Open (GB Pair)	On track to medal at Tokyo 2020. Competed as a GB ⁸ pair in: 50% Super Series during the year	Elite Athlete Training - Support Team Personnel - WITTW Engagement - Demonstration of full time - Elite Behaviours
	B	Ranked as 3 rd or 4 th pairs of the same nationality (based on Combined Ranking ⁷)	Semi Final at Rio (2016/17 only) / Semi Final at British Open (GB Pair)	On track to medal at Tokyo 2020. Competed as a GB ⁸ pair in: 50% Super Series during the year	Elite Athlete Training - Support Team Personnel - WITTW Engagement - Demonstration of full time - Elite Behaviours
PODIUM POTENTIAL	C	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	E	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FUTURES	F	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

2.3 Selection Criteria Notes

¹ Ranking

The Ranking criteria applies to the ITF World Ranking at the time of the Selection Panel meeting.

² Results

For the avoidance of doubt the events that will be used to assess a player's results in accordance with the Selection Criteria are as follows:

- 2016 Selection – Rio Paralympic Games / British Open
- 2017 Selection – Rio Paralympic Games / British Open
- 2018 Selection – British Open
- 2019 Selection – British Open

Criteria for Levels D and E do not contain milestone results targets. Any performance expectations for this level of player at the British Open would not provide the WCP with a reliable indicator for the following reasons:

- The draw size is typically 32 for Men, 24 for Women and 24 for Quads; and
- There are typically 8 seeds in each competition.

Given the above, milestone targets at the British Open are not included.

Criteria for Level F do not include Ranking or Results targets, as the focus is on development of the Talent Markers as fundamentals.

³ Tokyo Profile (Singles)

Only players that are progressing towards, or continuing to achieve Paralympic medal performances will be considered on the WCP. Therefore, players must be able to demonstrate progress to the Medal Zone for Tokyo and/or future Paralympic Games. This progress will be assessed by performances in competition (World Ranking) and skill development (WITTW Indicators).

³ Tokyo Profile (Doubles)

Only players that are progressing towards, or continuing to achieve Paralympic medal performances will be considered on the WCP. Therefore, doubles players must be able to demonstrate progress to the Medal Zone for Tokyo and/or future Paralympic Games. This progress will be assessed by performances in competition at significant events as a GB pair and through skill development (WITTW Indicators).

Therefore, players selected on the WCP for Doubles must play 50% of Super Series events (if both players qualify) with a GB player.

⁴ Demonstrable Progress to Medal Zone

Ranking &/OR WITTW Indicators vs Medal Zone

Ranking

World Ranking is the key indicator for Paralympic Games success. Research tracking past and current medal prospects (ranked in the “Medal Zone”) provides a guide to how medallists improve their ranking over time – the results of this research from the benchmarks of assessment – these can be seen in Appendix 3.

The ranking progressions of WCP players will be assessed against this.

The current Medal Zones are as follows: Men - Top 6, Women - Top 6, Quad - Top 4

WITTW Indicators vs Medal Zone (MZ)

Skill and competency development provides players with the tools to improve performance and achieve results. These skills / competencies are captured in the WITTW model – the performances of GB and international players are assessed against these, providing world standards, as well as indicators of individual strengths and weaknesses. The indicators are as follows:

Equipment Optimisation	When Serving
Maximising Player Availability	When Receiving
Physical Robustness	When Both Back, Neutral
Psychological Robustness	Building, Attacking
	Counter-attacking

⁵ Maximising Performance

Refers to the necessary quality and quantity of training to provide the greatest opportunity of success in competition.

Assessment of this is as follows:

Elite Athlete Training	Progressive Athlete Training	Fundamental Training, in line with WCP recommendations:
- Support Team Personnel Level 4 performance LTA Accredited+ Individual Coach SSSM Practitioners to be qualified, if part of team	- Support Team Personnel LTA Accredited+ Individual Coach SSSM Practitioners to be qualified, if part of team	- Coach LTA Accredited+ Individual Coach, who is engaged in programme activity and communication
- WCP Engagement Player and individual team collaborate and communicate with WCP, including reviewing competences against the WITTW model and prioritisation of development accordingly and attendance at WCP camps.	- WCP Engagement Player and individual team collaborate and communicate with WCP, including reviewing competences against the WITTW model and prioritisation of development accordingly and attendance at WCP camps.	- Facility Suitable tennis centre and facilities to support training goals.
- Demonstration of full time training Player is a “professional athlete”, and as such, commits to being a full-time tennis player – necessary to become a medal prospect. Is able to train throughout the year at indoor facility with gym access.	- Commitment to become full time Player is committed to become a “professional athlete”, and as such, is working towards being a full-time tennis player and recognises this is necessary in future. Is able to train throughout the year at indoor facility with gym access.	- Training Schedule Player’s training schedule is suitable to develop sound fundamentals of the sport, in line with the WITTW model.
- Elite Behaviours Player demonstrates elite player behaviours through preparation & recovery, goal-setting & reviewing and living a professional athlete lifestyle. Disciplinary matters will be taken into account.	- Elite Behaviours Player demonstrates elite player behaviours through preparation & recovery, goal-setting & reviewing and living a professional athlete lifestyle. Disciplinary matters will be taken into account.	- WCP Camp Attendance Player demonstrates a commitment to WCP Camps, in terms of attendance and engagement, to maximise development opportunities.

6 Talent Markers

The following table shows the Talent Markers used for player consideration at level F – players must meet at least 80% of the criteria:

Serving	Returning	Both Back	Physical	Psychological
<i>Effective 1st and 2nd Serves demonstrated by:</i>	<i>Effective Returns of 1st and 2nd serves demonstrated by:</i>	<i>Ability to rally in a neutral position demonstrated by:</i>	<i>Maximise physical performance and reduce injury risk demonstrated by:</i>	<i>Maximise psychological performance demonstrated by:</i>
1. Repeatability of service action 2. Ability to vary serve using spin 3. Consistently achieve Wide, Body & T serves 4. Use of continental / fixed grip	1. Consistent ability to direct return 2. Appropriate movement in relevance to serve	1. Ability to create space using width, depth and flight path 2. Ability to target opponent weaknesses 3. Repeatability of groundstrokes using both top spin and slice 4. Employment of tactical positions	1. Improvement in physical drills / assessments 2. Adherence to physical programme 3. Consistent use of appropriate turn	1. Commitment in training and competition 2. Understanding of WCP and its values 3. Willingness to learn from training and competition

7 Combined World Ranking

The combined world ranking as a pair in comparison with other nationality pairs is critical to being a Paralympic Games medal contender. This will be assessed as follows:

A level: Combined World Ranking as a GB Pair that ensures a doubles team ranking of 1 or 2

B level: Combined World Ranking as a GB Pair that ensures a doubles team ranking of 3 or 4.

8 On track to Medal as a Pair

Performances in Super Series tournaments during the year of selection will be used to demonstrate whether a GB pair is on track to medal at a future Paralympic Games.

2.4 Matrix Support

The level of support to be provided by the TF at each level of the matrix will be announced in due course.

Athletes who are selected to the WCP may be eligible to receive an athlete performance award (“APA”) from UK Sport as set out below. This is subject always to UK Sport’s terms and conditions in respect of APAs.

Level	APA
A	£28,000
B	£21,500
C	£15,000
D	£12,000
E	£8,000
F	-

SECTION 3 – GUIDELINES FOR RETENTION OF ATHLETES AFFECTED BY PERFORMANCE LIMITING FACTORS

In the event that a player is suffering from a performance limiting factor such as injury or illness, the Selection Panel will use the following guidelines.

3.1 Performance Limiting Factor Guidelines

A "Performance Limiting Factor" is either:

- a. an injury or illness (or combination of injuries and illnesses) or other exceptional circumstance which results in significant interruption or impact upon training for at least 3 months – intermittent or continuous, or
- b. an injury or illness or other exceptional circumstance which occurs at such a time that it significantly impacts on an player's ability to deliver performances that would qualify them for consideration for selection to the WCP based on the Selection Criteria.

Players who are suffering from or have suffered a Performance Limiting Factor prior to selection may be considered for selection on the WCP if:

- a. the Selection Panel considers that, when fully fit (and in its assessment the Selection Panel shall take into consideration the seriousness of and time lost to the Performance Limiting Factor), the player still merits selection to the WCP based on their on-going realistic potential to win a medal at the Paralympic Games; and
- b. the player is and has been fully compliant with their rehabilitation programme (if applicable), as set and amended from time to time by the TF, and in the opinion of the TF has not, whether by an act or omission, intentionally or otherwise, jeopardised their own recovery;
- c. the Selection Panel does not consider the Performance Limiting Factor took place due to unacceptable behaviour or decision making by the player outside of training/performance; and
- d. the Selection Panel considers the other circumstance which impacted on the player's ability to meet the criteria was out of their control, and had it not occurred, the player would in all likelihood meet the criteria and therefore merits selection to the WCP.

SECTION 4 – CONTINUING SUPPORT

Players selected in accordance with this policy must continue to meet the relevant Maximising Performance Criteria throughout the year in order to continue to receive support. Exceptions to this will be notified by the TF.

SECTION 5 – PLAYERS TRANSITIONING OFF THE PROGRAMME

Transitional funding may be offered to players who are leaving the WCP at Podium or Podium Potential level i.e. levels A to E inclusive of the Selection Criteria tables above) as a result of their own choice, retirement or for any player who has not been re-selected for the WCP based on performance. Transitional funding may be offered by the TF at the sole and absolute discretion of the TF and should be calculated based on the number of continuous years the player has been on the WCP and the level of APA funding that they are receiving at the time of their transition off the WCP:

- 1 year = 1 month transitional
- 2 years = 2 months transitional
- 3 years = 3 months transitional
- 4 years or more = 4 months transitional

Where a player is leaving the WCP due to disciplinary issues or through a lack of engagement in the WCP, the player will not be eligible to receive any transitional funding.

SECTION 6 – NOTIFICATION OF SELECTION DECISIONS

The dates of Selection meetings will be published on the TF website by September each year at the latest.

Each decision to select or not to select will be recorded on a Selection Record Form. The form is provided at **Appendix 2**.

The Chairperson of the Selection Panel will make reasonable efforts to ensure that players are notified of selection decisions in writing within two weeks of the conclusion of the relevant selection meeting. The decisions that will be formally notified to athletes are:

- (i) Confirmation of selection to the WCP and the respective WCP Pathway Level;
- (ii) Confirmation that an existing WCP player has not been selected.

Appendix 1

THE TENNIS FOUNDATION

WORLD CLASS PERFORMANCE PROGRAMME SELECTION APPEALS PROCEDURE

1. Introduction

This document sets out the Tennis Foundation (TF) World Class Performance Programme (WCP) Appeals Procedure (the **Procedure**) for all appeals by players in respect of the TF's decisions to select players for the WCP (a **nomination decision**).

2. Parties and Arbitration Agreement

2.1 The Procedure is binding on the TF and on each player who is seeking selection to the WCP.

2.2 The Procedure forms the entire agreement between each player and the TF (together **the Parties**) as to how selection decisions are to be challenged. The Parties agree:

- (a) to submit any dispute concerning any matter connected with or arising out of the nomination process to binding arbitration in accordance with the provisions of this Procedure;
- (b) not to commence, continue or maintain any legal challenge to any matter falling under the jurisdiction of the Selection Appeals Panel before any court of law or dispute resolution body without first following this Procedure;
- (c) to treat decisions properly made under this Procedure as final and binding;
- (d) this Procedure is an arbitration procedure for the purposes of Part 1 of the Arbitration Act 1996 (**the Act**) and the provisions of this clause 2 amount to a binding arbitration agreement for the purposes of section 6 of the Act. The seat of the arbitration shall be England.

3. Grounds of Appeal

3.1 A player may appeal against a nomination decision only on the grounds that:

- (a) there has been a failure to apply the applicable selection criteria; and/or
- (b) there has been a failure to adhere to the selection procedure.

3.2 The player does not have a right of appeal against any judgment or discretion exercised in the course of making a nomination decision, or against the content of the applicable selection criteria.

4. How to Appeal

4.1 This Procedure is commenced when a player affected by a selection decision, or the player's authorised representative, submits a formal written appeal (the **Notice of Appeal**) to the Executive Director of the TF at The National Tennis Centre, 100 Priory Lane, Roehampton, London, SW15 5JQ, email address geoff.newton@tennisfoundation.org.uk with copy email to Stephen Farrow, LTA Legal Director at stephen.farrow@lta.org.uk.

4.2 The Notice of Appeal must be received by the TF within 72 hours of the communication of the decision of the Selection Panel by the TF.

4.3 If the player fails to submit the Notice of Appeal within the time limit set out in this Appeals Process he or she will have lost their right of appeal.

4.4 The Notice of Appeal must set out full details of the player's ground(s) of appeal and include:

- (a) details of the decision which the player is appealing; and

(b) details of the ground(s) of appeal upon which the player relies, including the precise manner in which the player alleges that the selection criteria have not been applied or in which the procedure set out in the applicable Selection Policy has not been followed.

4.5 Any documents or written evidence upon which the player relies in support of his or her appeal must be submitted within 72 hours of the receipt by the TF of the Notice of Appeal from the player (the **Supporting Documents**). These documents must be relevant specifically to the player's grounds of appeal and must be submitted in the same way as the Notice of Appeal as specified at 4.1 above.

5. The Appeal Hearing

5.1 Following receipt of the Notice of Appeal and the Supporting Documents, the Appeal Panel shall be convened as soon as reasonably possible by the Executive Director of the TF, accompanied by a member of the LTA legal team.

5.2 In the event that the Executive Director of the TF has any involvement with, or is related to an appellant, or had any involvement with the selection decision under appeal, or is in any way placed in a position of conflicting interests in respect of the appeal, he shall be disqualified from sitting on the Appeal Panel and will be replaced by a nominated alternate.

5.3 The Executive Director of the TF will consider the grounds set out in the Notice of Appeal and establish to their reasonable satisfaction whether or not there has been a failure to apply the applicable selection criteria and/or that there has been a failure to adhere to the procedure set out in the applicable Selection Policy. He shall be entitled to:

(a) confirm the nomination decision under appeal and reject the Appeal; or

(b) allow the Appeal and quash the nomination decision under appeal and remit the matter back to the original decision maker identifying the errors they have identified in the conduct of the selection process and requesting that a new decision is made within 48 hours.

5.4 The decision of the Executive Director of the TF (or his nominee) following the appeal hearing is final and there is no further right of appeal.

6. Minor and Non-Consequential breaches of this Procedure

Save for the time limits and dates specified, where any party deviates from any requirement of this Procedure, it shall not invalidate the Procedure or the decision of the Appeal Panel, unless there is a clear and significant risk that the deviation has affected the decision of the Appeal Panel.

7. Confidentiality

7.1 The player and the TF are under an obligation of confidentiality in respect of any appeal proceeding under this Procedure. Save as permitted under this Appeals Procedure, none of these Parties will make any public statement or disclosure of the contents of the Notice of Appeal on any other matter referred to by any of the parties during the course of these proceedings.

7.2 The TF shall be entitled to publish the outcome of the Appeal in such manner and to such extent as is necessary to inform all properly interested and elected parties of the status of the selection.

8. Changes and Amendments to this Procedure

The TF will be entitled to amend this Procedure from time to time and such amendments will take effect from the first date of publication of the complete amended Procedure on the TF website, <http://www.tennisfoundation.org.uk/>.

Appendix 2

Selection Record Form

Panel Information

Date of Selection Panel Meeting	
Chairperson	
Panel Members	

The Selection Panel will use the below table to evidence player achievements against the WCP Matrix Selection Criteria for the respective levels of the Pathway.

Player	Singles or Doubles Funded	Current Matrix Level	Actual Ranking	Milestone Results	Tokyo Profile	Maximising Performance	PLF?	Agreed Matrix Level
Notes								

Notes								

Notes								

Notes								


Notes								

Notes								


Appendix 3

Ranking Progression Pathway to Medal Zone

Men



Women



Quad

